Remarks

Reconsideration of this Application is respectfully requested.

Upon entry of the foregoing amendment, claims 1, 2, 4, 7-40, 42-43, and 80-100 are pending. Claims 80-98 have been withdrawn based on the Examiner's restriction of these claims. Independent claim 1 has been amended. Support for these amendments can be found, for example, at paragraph [158] of the published application.

Based on the above amendment and the following remarks, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider all outstanding rejections and that they be withdrawn.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 2, 4, 7-9, 31-40, 42, 43 and 99 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatenable over U.S. Patent No. 5,714,997 to Anderson in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,164,827 to Paff in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,046,812 to Kochanski *et al.* in view of Fergestad *et al.* (WO 01/10517 A1). The Examiner further rejected claims 10-16 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatenable over Anderson in view of Paff in view of Kochanski *et al.* in view of Fergestad *et al.* and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,057,833 to Heidmann *et al.* Finally, the Examiner rejected claims 17-30 and 100 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatenable over Anderson in view of Paff in view of Kochanski *et al.* in view of Fergestad *et al.* and further in view of U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2002/0118286 to Kannade *et al.* Applicants respectfully traverse.

In order to expedite prosecution, and without acquiescing to the propriety of the Examiner's rejection, Applicants have amended claim 1 to recite "means for streaming said image and audio data from each of said plurality of cameras to a remote third party, whereby said third party can view said image and audio data as desired." The art of

record, even when one assumes, for present purposes, the propriety of combining those references in the manner proposed by the Examiner, does not appear to teach or suggest streaming data from *each* of a plurality of cameras to a remote third party. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection be withdrawn and claims 1, 2, 4, 7-40, and 42-43 and new claims 99-100 be passed to allowance.

Conclusion

All of the stated grounds rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider all presently outstanding rejections and that they be withdrawn. Applicants believe that a full and complete reply has been made to the outstanding Office Action and, as such, the present application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number provided.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Amendment and Reply is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.

Robert Sokohl

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 36,013

Date: March 5, 2009

1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-3934 (202) 371-2600

948626_1.DOC